Attorney Docket No.: 915-005.092

Application No.: 10/762,589

REMARKS

In the final Office Action, claims 1-8, 10-20 are rejected and claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. With this paper, claims 1-8 and 13 are canceled, claims 9-12 and 14-19 are amended, and no new claims are added. The application now includes claims 9-12 and 14-20.

Claim 9 is amended to incorporate all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. The amended claim 9 is believed to be patentable. Other independent claims, namely claims 12, 15 17 and 18, are amended in a way that the allowable features of claim 9 are also included in these claims. All other changes to the claims are related purely to matters of form.

Dated: July 10, 2006

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON LLP

Bradford Green, Building Five 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224 Monroe, CT 06468

Telephone: (203) 261-1234 Facsimile: (203) 261-5676 USPTO Customer No. 004955 Respectfully submitted,

Shiming Wu

Agent for Applicant Registration No. 56,885